

United States Patent and Trademark Office

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,204	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	RRA19US	1337	
24011 7590 06/18/2007 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			UHLENHAKE, JASON S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•		2853		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		06/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/760,204	SILVERBROOK, KIA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason Uhlenhake	2853
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 11).	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nunokawa et al (U.S. Pat. 6,962,404) in view of Watanabe et al (U.S. Pat. 6,352,329)

Nunokawa discloses:

- **regarding claim 3,** the body includes a recess to receive any one of the number of removable inkjet cartridges (31 of Figure 1)
- regarding claim 4, a body for supporting each of a range of removable inkjet printer cartridges (Figure 1; Column 9, Lines 11-16), each inkjet printer cartridge within the range being able to operate at different print speeds (Column 10, Lines 34-56)
- the cartridge also having printhead contacts for receiving data and power for operating the printhead, and the body having complementary contacts for establishing an electrical connection with the printhead contacts upon insertion of the cartridge into the body (Column 11, Lines 3-17)
- a controller connected to the complementary contacts and configured to determine the print speed of any of the inkjet printer cartridges when inserted into the

Art Unit: 2853

body, such that the controller adjusts the operations of the printhead in accordance with the print speed corresponding to that installed cartridge (Column 11, Lines 18-31)

Nunokawa does not expressly disclose the following:

- regarding claim 4, each inkjet printer cartridge being of a type having a page width printhead

Watanabe discloses:

regarding claim 4, each inkjet printer cartridge being of a type having a page width printhead (Column 1, Lines 10-23), for the purpose of increasing printing speed

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of each inkjet printer cartridge being of a type having a page width printhead as taught by Watanabe into the device of Nunokawa, for the purpose of increasing the printing speed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please see the above rejection regarding Nunokawa et al (U.S. Pat. 6,962,404) in view of Watanabe et al (U.S. Pat. 6,352,329).

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSU June 11, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER